arXiv:1610.00817v1 [math.AG] 4 Oct 2016

POISSON DEFORMATIONS OF RULED SURFACES OVER AN ELLIPTIC CURVE

CHUNGHOON KIM

Abstract. We determine obstructedness or unobstructedness of (holomorphic) Poisson deformations of ruled surfaces over an elliptic curve.

Contents

1. Ruled surfaces over an elliptic curve 2
2. Holomorphic Poisson structures on ruled surfaces S over an elliptic curve, and descriptions of $H^1(S, \wedge^2\Theta_S)$ 4
3. Computations of $\mathbb{H}^0(S, \Theta_S^\bullet), \mathbb{H}^1(S, \Theta_S^\bullet)$ and $\mathbb{H}^2(S, \Theta_S^\bullet)$ for Poisson ruled surfaces (S, Λ_0) over an elliptic curve 6
4. Poisson deformations of ruled surfaces over an elliptic curve 9
References 12

We study (holomorphic) Poisson deformations of ruled surfaces over an elliptic curve. A holomorphic Poisson manifold X is a complex manifold such that its structure sheaf is a sheaf of Poisson algebras.[1] A holomorphic Poisson structure is encoded in a holomorphic section (a holomorphic bivector field) $\Lambda_0 \in H^0(M, \wedge^2\Theta_M)$ with $[\Lambda_0, \Lambda_0] = 0$, where Θ_M is the sheaf of germs of holomorphic vector fields on M, and the bracket $[-,-]$ is the Schouten bracket on M. In the sequel a holomorphic Poisson manifold will be denoted by (M, Λ_0). In [Kim14], we studied deformations of holomorphic Poisson structures on the basis of Kodaira-Spencer's deformation theory of complex structures. We defined a concept of a family of compact holomorphic Poisson manifolds, called a Poisson analytic family, which is based on a complex analytic family in the sense of Kodaira-Spencer's deformations theory ([Kod05]). Throughout this paper, we will call deformations of complex structures 'complex deformations', and deformations of holomorphic Poisson structures 'Poisson deformations' for short. Given a compact holomorphic Poisson manifold (M, Λ_0), infinitesimal (Poisson) deformations of (M, Λ_0) are encoded in the first cohomology group $\mathbb{H}^1(M, \Theta_M^\bullet)$ of the complex of sheaves $\Theta_M^\bullet : \Theta_M \to \wedge^2\Theta_M \to \wedge^3\Theta_M \to \cdots$ induced by $[\Lambda_0, -]$. We say that a compact holomorphic Poisson manifold (M, Λ_0) is unobstructed in Poisson deformations if there is a Poisson analytic family $(\mathcal{M}, \Lambda, B, \pi)$ of deformations of $\pi^{-1}(0) = (M, \Lambda_0), 0 \in B$ such that the associated Poisson Kodaira-Spencer map $\varphi_0 : T_0B \to \mathbb{H}^1(M, \Theta_M^\bullet)$ is an isomorphism at $0 \in B$. Otherwise, we say that (M, Λ_0) is obstructed in Poisson deformations. In this paper we determine obstructedness or unobstructedness of Poisson deformations for ruled surfaces S over an elliptic curve X for any holomorphic Poisson structure on S. Our method is based on [Suw69] in the context of Poisson deformations.

In section 1, we review a biholomorphic classification of ruled surfaces over an elliptic curve and explicit constructions of biholomorphic equivalent classes of ruled surfaces S over an elliptic curve X presented in [Suw69]. We explicitly describe $H^0(S, \Theta_S)$ and $H^1(S, \Theta_S)$ in terms of a covering of S. In section 2, based on the constructions in section 1, we explicitly describe holomorphic Poisson structures $H^0(S, \wedge^2\Theta_S)$ on S, and $H^1(S, \wedge^2\Theta_S)$. Then by using them, in section 3, we compute $\mathbb{H}^0(S, \Theta_S^\bullet), \mathbb{H}^1(S, \Theta_S^\bullet)$ and $\mathbb{H}^2(S, \Theta_S^\bullet)$ for any Poisson ruled surface (S, Λ_0) over an elliptic curve X (see Table 1). Finally in section 4, we determine obstructedness or unobstructedness of Poisson deformations for any Poisson ruled surface (S, Λ_0) over an elliptic curve X (see Table 1). For unobstructed Poisson ruled surfaces (S, Λ_0) over an elliptic curve, we explicitly construct Poisson analytic families of deformations of (S, Λ_0) such that the associated Poisson Kodaira-Spencer map is an isomorphism at the distinguished point.

[1] For general information on Poisson geometry, we refer to [LGPV13] .

1. Ruled surfaces over an elliptic curve

We review a biholomorphic classification of ruled surfaces over an elliptic curve presented in [Suw69] to which we refer for the details. Every ruled surfaces over an elliptic curve X can be expressed uniquely as one of the following: (i) a line bundle of non-negative degree, (ii) A_0, and (iii) A_{-1} where A_0 and A_{-1} are affine bundles ([Ati55], [Ati57]). For any divisor D on X, we denote by $[D]$ the line bundle over X which is determined by D. We write the operation of the group of divisors on X multiplicatively. Then by Abel-Jocobi theorem, given a point p_0 on X, the mapping $p \to [p_0 p^{-1}]$ gives an isomorphism between complex torus X and the Picard variety $Pic^0(X) = ker\, c \cong H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X)/hH^1(X, \mathbb{Z})$, where

$$\cdots \to H^1(X,\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}\oplus\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{h} H^1(X,\mathcal{O}_X) \cong \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{e} H^1(X,\mathcal{O}_X^*) \xrightarrow{c} H^2(X,\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z} \to 0, \tag{1.0.1}$$

which is the long exact sequence induced from the exponential sequence $0 \to \mathbb{Z} \to \mathcal{O}_X \to \mathcal{O}_X^* \to 0$, and for any line bundle ξ of degree $n \geq 1$, there exists a point p on X such that $\xi = [p^n]$. It follows that all the ruled surfaces associated with line bundles of degree $n \geq 1$ are biholomorphically equivalent to one and the same surface, which will be denoted by S_n. We denote by $\mathcal{S}_0$ the biholomorphic equivalent classes of ruled surfaces associated with line bundles of degree 0. Then every ruled surfaces over an elliptic curve X can be classified biholomorphically as follows:

$$\mathcal{S}_0, \quad S_n (n \geq 1), \quad A_0, \quad A_{-1}. \tag{1.0.2}$$

Let S belong to one of (1.0.2). We will explicitly construct S and describe $H^0(S,\Theta_S)$, and $H^1(S,\Theta_S)$ (for the details, see [Suw69] Theorem 3 and Theorem 4). We represent an elliptic curve X as a quotient group : $X = \mathbb{C}/G_\omega$, where G_ω is a discontinuous group of the additive group $\mathbb{C}$ generated by ω and 1 with $\operatorname{Im}\omega > 0$, and for any $u \in \mathbb{C}$, we denote by $[u]$ the corresponding element of $X = \mathbb{C}/G_\omega$. Take a point $p \in X$ and let u_1 be a local coordinate at p. Let $U_1 = \{u_1 | |u_1| < \epsilon\}$ for a sufficiently small number $\epsilon > 0$ and $U = X - p$. Then $\mathscr{U} = \{U, U_1\}$ is the Stein covering of X. We construct S by gluing $U \times \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $U_1 \times \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}$ in the following way. Here we denote by ξ and ξ_1 the inhomogeneous coordinates of $\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}$ respectively in the covering $\mathcal{U} := \{U \times \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}, U_1 \times \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}\}$ of S.

1.1. Construction of $S = S_0 = X \times \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}$ and descriptions of $H^0(S,\Theta_S)$ and $H^1(S,\Theta_S)$.

We set $S = (U \times \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}) \cup (U_1 \times \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}})$, where $(u,\xi) \in U \times \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $(u_1,\xi_1) \in U_1 \times \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}$ are identified if and only if $\xi = \xi_1$, and $[u] = p + u_1$. Then $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^0(S,\Theta_S) = 4$ and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial u}, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi}, \quad \xi\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi}, \quad \xi^2\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \in H^0(S,\Theta_S) \tag{1.1.1}$$

forms a basis of $H^0(S,\Theta_S)$. On the other hand, $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^1(S,\Theta_S) = 4$ and

$$\frac{1}{u_1}\frac{\partial}{\partial u_1}, \quad \frac{1}{u_1}\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1}, \quad \frac{\xi_1}{u_1}\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1}, \quad \frac{\xi_1^2}{u_1}\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1} \in C^1(\mathcal{U},\Theta_S) \tag{1.1.2}$$

forms a basis of $H^1(S,\Theta_S)$.

1.2. Construction of $S \in \mathcal{S}_0, S \neq S_0$, and descriptions of $H^0(S,\Theta_S)$ and $H^1(S,\Theta_S)$.

We note that any line bundle of degree zero can be represented by a 1-cocycle $\eta(t) = \{\eta_{ij}(t)\}_{i,j=0,1} \in C^1(\mathscr{U},\mathcal{O}_X^*)$, $\eta_{01}(t) = e^{\frac{t}{u_1}}$ for some $t \in \mathbb{C}$, where $\mathscr{U} = \{U, U_1\}$. $\eta(t)$ represents the trivial bundle if and only if $\eta'(t) = \{\eta'_{ij}(t)\}_{i,j=0,1} \in C^1(\mathscr{U},\mathcal{O}_X)$, where $\eta'_{01}(t) = \frac{t}{2\pi i u_1}$ is in the image of h in (1.0.1). In this case, we say that t belongs to the lattice.

We set $S = (U \times \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}) \cup (U_1 \times \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}})$, where $(u,\xi) \in U \times \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $(u_1,\xi_1) \in U_1 \times \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}$ are identified if and only if $\xi = e^{\frac{t_0}{u_1}}\xi_1$, and $[u] = p + u_1$, where t_0 is a complex number not belonging to the lattice such that the ruled surface S is represented by the 1-cocycle $\eta(t_0)$. A holomorphic vector field $\theta \in H^0(S,\Theta_S)$ can be expressed in the form

$$\theta = (a_0(u) + a_1(u)\xi + a_2(u)\xi^2)\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} + b(u)\frac{\partial}{\partial u} \quad \text{on } U \times \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}, \tag{1.2.1}$$

where $a_0(u), a_1(u), a_2(u)$ and $b(u)$ are holomorphic functions of $[u] \in U$. We note that $\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1} = e^{\frac{t_0}{u_1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi}$, and $\frac{\partial}{\partial u_1} = -\frac{t_0}{u_1^2} e^{\frac{t_0}{u_1}} \xi_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} + \frac{\partial}{\partial u}$. If we write θ in terms of (u_1, ξ_1), we have

$$\theta = \left(a_0(u) e^{-\frac{t_0}{u_1}} + \left(a_1(u) + \frac{t_0}{u_1^2} b(u) \right) \xi_1 + a_2(u) e^{\frac{t_0}{u_1}} \xi_1^2 \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1} + b(u) \frac{\partial}{\partial u_1}. \tag{1.2.2}$$

Then $b(u) := b$ is a constant. In a neighborhood of p, $a_1(u)$ has the form: $a_1(u) = -\frac{t_0 b}{u_1^2} + \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 u_1 + \cdots$, where $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{C}$ so that $a_1(u) = c - t_0 b \wp(u-p)$, where c is a constant and $\wp(u)$ is the Weierstrass $\wp$-function with the periods $(1, \omega)$.[2] Since $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^0(S, \Theta_S) = 2$, we have $a_0(u) = a_2(u) = 0$, and

$$\xi \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi}, \quad -t_0 \wp(u-p) \xi \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} + \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \in H^0(S, \Theta_S) \tag{1.2.3}$$

forms a basis of $H^0(S, \Theta_S)$. On the other hand, $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^1(S, \Theta_S) = 2$ and

$$\frac{1}{u_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_1}, \quad \frac{\xi_1}{u_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1} \in C^1(\mathcal{U}, \Theta_S) \tag{1.2.4}$$

forms a basis of $H^1(S, \Theta_S)$.

1.3. Construction of $S = S_n (n \geq 1)$, and descriptions of $H^0(S, \Theta_S)$ and $H^1(S, \Theta_S)$.

We set $S = (U \times \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}) \cup (U_1 \times \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}})$, where $(u, \xi) \in U \times \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $(u_1, \xi_1) \in U_1 \times \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}$ are identified if and only if $\xi = u_1^n \xi_1$ and $[u] = p + u_1$. Then $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^0(S, \Theta_S) = n + 1$ and

$$\xi \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi}, \quad \xi^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi}, \quad \wp^{(k)}(u-p) \xi^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \in H^0(S, \Theta_S), \quad k = 0, ..., n-2, \tag{1.3.1}$$

forms a basis of $H^0(S, \Theta_S)$, where $\wp(u)$ is the Weierstrass $\wp$-function with the periods $(1, \omega)$, and $\wp^{(k)}(u)$ denotes the k-th derivative of $\wp(u)$. On the other hand, $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^1(S, \Theta_S) = n + 1$ and

$$\frac{1}{u_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_1}, \quad \frac{1}{u_1^{n+1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1}, \quad \frac{1}{u_1^k} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1} \in C^1(\mathcal{U}, \Theta_S), \quad k = 1, ..., n-1, \tag{1.3.2}$$

forms a basis of $H^1(S, \Theta_S)$.

1.4. Construction of $S = A_0$, and descriptions of $H^0(S, \Theta_S)$ and $H^1(S, \Theta_S)$.

We set $S = (U \times \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}) \cup (U_1 \times \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}})$, where $(u, \xi) \in U \times \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $(u_1, \xi_1) \in U_1 \times \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}$ are identified if and only if $\xi = \xi_1 + \frac{1}{u_1}$ and $[u] = p + u_1$. Then $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^0(S, \Theta_S) = 2$ and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi}, \quad -\wp(u-p) \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} + \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \in H^0(S, \Theta_S) \tag{1.4.1}$$

forms a basis of $H^0(S, \Theta_S)$. On the other hand, $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^1(S, \Theta_S) = 2$ and

$$\frac{1}{u_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_1}, \quad -\left(\frac{\xi_1^2}{u_1} + \frac{\xi_1}{u_1^2} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1} \in C^1(\mathcal{U}, \Theta_S) \tag{1.4.2}$$

forms a basis of $H^1(S, \Theta_S)$.

1.5. Construction of $S = A_{-1}$, and descriptions of $H^0(S, \Theta_S)$ and $H^1(S, \Theta_S)$.

We set $S = (U \times \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}) \cup (U_1 \times \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}})$, where $(u, \xi) \in U \times \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $(u_1, \xi_1) \in U_1 \times \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}$ are identified if and only if $\xi = u_1 \xi_1 + \frac{1}{u_1}$ and $[u] = p + u_1$. Then $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^0(S, \Theta_S) = 1$ and

$$-3\wp(u-p) \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} + \xi^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} + 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \in H^0(S, \Theta_S) \tag{1.5.1}$$

forms a basis of $H^0(S, \Theta_S)$. On the other hand, $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^1(S, \Theta_S) = 1$ and

$$\frac{1}{u_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_1} \in C^1(\mathcal{U}, \Theta_S) \tag{1.5.2}$$

forms a basis of $H^1(S, \Theta_S)$.

[2] For the theory of elliptic functions, we refer to [Mar77] Vol. III Chapter 5.

2. Holomorphic Poisson structures on ruled surfaces S over an elliptic curve, and descriptions of $H^1(S, \wedge^2\Theta_S)$

In this section, based on the biholomorphic classification of ruled surfaces over an elliptic curve in the previous section, we explicitly describe holomorphic Poisson structures on ruled surfaces over an elliptic curve. We remark that the dimensions of holomorphic Poisson structures $H^0(S, \wedge^2\Theta_S)$ on ruled surfaces S over an elliptic curve were computed in [BM05]. We note that as the Chern numbers c_1^2 and c_2 vanish, and $H^2(S, \wedge^2\Theta_S) = 0$, by Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch theorem, we have $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^1(S, \wedge^2\Theta_S) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^0(S, \wedge^2\Theta_S)$.

We keep the notations in section 1. Then a bivector field on $U \times \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}$ is of the form

$$\left(a_0(u) + a_1(u)\xi + a_2(u)\xi^2\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial u}, \quad \text{on} \quad U \times \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}, \tag{2.0.3}$$

where $a_0(u), a_1(u)$ and $a_2(u)$ are holomorphic functions of $[u] \in U$. On the other hand, a bivector field on $U_1 \times \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}$ is of the form

$$\left(a_{10}(u_1) + a_{11}(u_1)\xi_1 + a_{12}(u_1)\xi_1^2\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial u_1}, \quad \text{on} \quad U_1 \times \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}, \tag{2.0.4}$$

where $a_{10}(u_1), a_{11}(u_1)$ and $a_{12}(u_1)$ are holomorphic functions of $u_1 \in U_1$.

2.1. Holomorphic Poisson structures on $S = S_0 = X \times \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}$, and descriptions of $H^1(S, \wedge^2\Theta_S)$.

We keep the notations in subsection 1.1. Then $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^0(S, \wedge^2\Theta_S) = 3$ and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial u}, \quad \xi \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial u}, \quad \xi^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \in H^0(S, \wedge^2\Theta_S) \tag{2.1.1}$$

forms a basis of $H^0(S, \wedge^2\Theta_S)$. On the other hand, since $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^1(S, \wedge^2\Theta_S) = 3$ and there is no elliptic function of order 1,

$$\frac{1}{u_1}\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial u_1}, \quad \frac{\xi_1}{u_1}\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial u_1}, \quad \frac{\xi_1^2}{u_1}\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial u_1} \in C^1(\mathcal{U}, \wedge^2\Theta_S) \tag{2.1.2}$$

forms a basis of $H^1(S, \wedge^2\Theta_S)$.

2.2. Holomorphic Poisson structures on $S \in \mathcal{S}_0, S \neq S_0$, and descriptions of $H^1(S, \wedge^2\Theta_S)$.

We keep the notations in subsection 1.2. Then $\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial u_1} = e^{\frac{t_0}{u_1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial u}$. If we write a holomorphic bivector field $\Lambda_0 \in H^0(S, \wedge^2\Theta_S)$ of the form (2.0.3) in terms of (u_1, ξ_1), we have

$$\Lambda_0 = \left(a_0(u)e^{-\frac{t_0}{u_1}} + a_1(u)\xi_1 + a_2(u)e^{\frac{t_0}{u_1}}\xi_1^2\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial u_1}$$

Then $a_0(u) = a_2(u) = 0$ and $a_1(u) := a_1$ is a constant. Hence $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^0(S, \wedge^2\Theta_S) = 1$ and

$$\xi \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \in H^0(S, \wedge^2\Theta_S) \tag{2.2.1}$$

forms a basis of $H^0(S, \wedge^2\Theta_S)$. On the other hand, since $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^1(S, \wedge^2\Theta_S) = 1$, and there is no elliptic function of order 1, we see that

$$\frac{\xi_1}{u_1}\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial u_1} \in C^1(\mathcal{U}, \wedge^2\Theta_S) \tag{2.2.2}$$

forms a basis of $H^1(S, \wedge^2\Theta_S)$.

2.3. Holomorphic Poisson structures on $S = S_n (n \geq 1)$, and descriptions of $H^1(S, \wedge^2\Theta_S)$.

We keep the notations in subsection 1.3. Then $\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1} = u_1^n \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi}$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial u_1} = n u_1^{n-1} \xi_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial u} + \frac{1}{u}$ and so $\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial u_1} = u_1^n \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial u}$. If we write a holomorphic bivector field $\Lambda_0 \in H^0(S, \wedge^2\Theta_S)$ of the form (2.0.3) in terms of (u_1, ξ_1), we have

$$\Lambda_0 = \left(a_0(u)\frac{1}{u_1^n} + a_1(u)\xi_1 + a_2(u)u_1^n\xi_1^2\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial u_1}.$$

It follows that $a_0(u) = 0$ and $a_1(u) := a_1$ is a constant. Since p is a pole of $a_2(u)$ of order at most n,

$$a_2(u) = c_0 + c_1\wp(u-p) + c_2\wp'(u-p) + \cdots + c_{n-1}\wp^{(n-2)}(u-p), \quad c_0, c_1, ..., c_{n-1} \in \mathbb{C},$$

where $\wp(u)$ is the Weierstrass $\wp$-function with the periods $(1,\omega)$, and $\wp^{(k)}(u)$ denotes the k-th derivative of $\wp(u)$. Hence $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^0(S,\wedge^2\Theta_S)=n+1$ and

$$\xi\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial u},\quad \xi^2\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial u},\quad \wp^{(k)}(u-p)\xi^2\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial u}\in H^0(S,\wedge^2\Theta_S),\quad k=0,...,n-2, \tag{2.3.1}$$

forms a basis of $H^0(S,\wedge^2\Theta_S)$. On the other hand, we note that $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^1(S,\wedge^2\Theta_S)=n+1$. We claim that

$$\frac{\xi_1}{u_1}\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi_1}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial u_1},\quad \frac{1}{u_1^{n+1}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi_1}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial u_1},\quad \frac{1}{u_1^{k}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi_1}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial u_1}\in C^1(\mathcal{U},\wedge^2\Theta_S),\quad k=1,...,n-1, \tag{2.3.2}$$

forms a basis of $H^1(S,\wedge^2\Theta_S)$. Indeed, assume that $\frac{d}{u_1}\xi_1\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi_1}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial u_1}+\left(\frac{c_1}{u_1^{n+1}}+\frac{c_2}{u_1}+\frac{c_3}{u_1^2}+\cdots+\frac{c_n}{u_1^{n-1}}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi_1}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial u_1}$ is written as a difference of two bivector fields of the forms (2.0.3) and (2.0.4) for some constants $d,c_1,c_2,...,c_{n-1}$:

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{d}{u_1}\xi_1\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi_1}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial u_1}+\left(\frac{c_1}{u_1^{n+1}}+\frac{c_2}{u_1}+\frac{c_3}{u_1^2}+\cdots+\frac{c_n}{u_1^{n-1}}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi_1}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial u_1}\\&=\left(a_{10}(u_1)-a_0(u)\frac{1}{u_1^n}+(a_{10}(u_1)-a_1(u))\xi_1+(a_{20}(u_1)-a_2(u)u_1^n)\xi_1^2\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi_1}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial u_1}.\end{aligned}$$

This implies that $a_1(u)=-\frac{d}{u_1}+a_{10}(u_1)$. Since there is no elliptic function of order 1, we have $d=0$. On the other hand, $\frac{a_0(u)}{u_1^n}=-\left(\frac{c_1}{u_1^{n+1}}+\frac{c_2}{u_1}+\frac{c_3}{u_1^2}+\cdots+\frac{c_n}{u_1^{n-1}}\right)+a_{10}(u_1)$ and hence in a neighborhood of $u_1=0$, we have $a_0(u)=-\frac{c_1}{u_1}-c_nu_1-\cdots-c_2u_1^{n-1}+\alpha_0u_1^n+\alpha_1u_1^{n+1}+\cdots$, where $\alpha_i\in\mathbb{C}$. Hence $c_1=0$ and $a_0(u)$ is a constant so that $a_0(u)=0$ and $c_2=\cdots=c_n=0$. This proves the claim.

2.4. **Holomorphic Poisson structures on $S=A_0$, and descriptions of $H^1(S,\wedge^2\Theta_S)$.**

We keep the notations in subsection 1.4. Then $\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi_1}=\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi}$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial u_1}=-\frac{1}{u_1^2}\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi}+\frac{\partial}{\partial u}$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi_1}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial u_1}=\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial u}$. If we write a holomorphic bivector field $\Lambda_0\in H^0(S,\wedge^2\Theta_S)$ of the form (2.0.3) in terms of (u_1,ξ_1), we have

$$\Lambda_0=\left(a_0(u)+\frac{a_1(u)}{u_1}+\frac{a_2(u)}{u_1^2}+\left(a_1(u)+2a_2(u)\frac{1}{u_1}\right)\xi_1+a_2(u)\xi_1^2\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi_1}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial u_1}$$

Then $a_2(u):=a_2$ is a constant. In a neighborhood of p, $a_1(u)$ has the following form:

$$a_1(u)=-\frac{2a_2}{u_1}+\alpha_0+\alpha_1u_1+\alpha_2u_1^2+\cdots,\quad \alpha_1\in\mathbb{C}.$$

As there exists no elliptic function of order 1, we have $a_2=0$ and $a_1(u):=a_1$ is a constant. In a neighborhood of p, $a_0(u)$ has the following form:

$$a_0(u)=-\frac{a_1}{u_1}+\beta_0+\beta_1u_1+\beta_2u_1^2+\cdots,\quad \beta_i\in\mathbb{C}.$$

Since there exists no elliptic function of order 1, we have $a_1=0$ and $a_0(u):=a_0$ is a constant: $a_0(u)=a_0$. Hence $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^0(S,\wedge^2\Theta_S)=1$ and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial u}\in H^0(S,\wedge^2\Theta_S) \tag{2.4.1}$$

forms a basis of $H^0(S,\wedge^2\Theta_S)$. On the other hand, we note that $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^1(S,\wedge^2\Theta_S)=1$, and there is no elliptic function of order 1, we see that

$$\frac{\xi_1^2}{u_1}\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi_1}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial u_1}\in C^1(\mathcal{U},\wedge^2\Theta_S) \tag{2.4.2}$$

forms a basis of $H^1(S,\wedge^2\Theta_S)$.

2.5. **Holomorphic Poisson structures on $S = A_{-1}$, and descriptions of $H^1(S, \wedge^2\Theta_S)$.**

We keep the notations in subsection 1.5. Then $\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1} = u_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi}$, $\frac{\partial}{\partial u_1} = \left(\xi_1 - \frac{1}{u_1^2}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} + \frac{\partial}{\partial u}$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial u_1} = u_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial u_1}$. If we write a holomorphic bivector field $\Lambda_0 \in H^0(S, \wedge^2\Theta_S)$ of the form (2.0.3) in terms of (u_1, ξ_1), we have

$$\Lambda_0 = \left(\frac{a_0(u)}{u_1} + \frac{a_1(u)}{u_1^2} + \frac{a_2(u)}{u_1^3} + \left(a_1(u) + \frac{2a_2(u)}{u_1}\right)\xi_1 + a_2(u)u_1\xi_1^2\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial u_1}.$$

Then $a_2(u) := a_2$ is a constant. In a neighborhood of p, $a_1(u)$ has the following form

$$a_1(u) = -\frac{2a_2}{u_1} + \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 u_1 + \alpha_2 u_1^2 + \cdots, \quad \alpha_i \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Hence $a_2 = 0$ and $a_1(u) := a_1$ is a constant. In a neighborhood of p, $a_0(u)$ has the following form:

$$a_0(u) = -\frac{a_1}{u_1} + \beta_0 u_1 + \beta_1 u_1^2 + \cdots, \quad \beta_i \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Hence $a_1 = 0$ and $a_0(u) = 0$ so that we have

$$H^0(S, \wedge^2\Theta_S) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad H^1(S, \wedge^2\Theta_S) = 0. \tag{2.5.1}$$

3. Computations of $\mathbb{H}^0(S, \Theta_S^\bullet), \mathbb{H}^1(S, \Theta_S^\bullet)$ and $\mathbb{H}^2(S, \Theta_S^\bullet)$ for Poisson ruled surfaces (S, Λ_0) over an elliptic curve

In this section, given a Poisson ruled surface (S, Λ_0) over an elliptic curve X described in section 2, we compute cohomology groups $\mathbb{H}^0(S, \Theta_S^\bullet), \mathbb{H}^1(S, \Theta_S^\bullet)$ and $\mathbb{H}^2(S, \Theta_S^\bullet)$ of the complex of sheaves $\Theta_S^\bullet : \Theta_S \xrightarrow{[\Lambda_0, -]} \wedge^2\Theta_S \xrightarrow{[\Lambda_0, -]} \wedge^3\Theta_S = 0$. By considering the spectral sequence associated with $\Theta_S \xrightarrow{[\Lambda_0, -]} \wedge^2\Theta_S \to 0$ and $H^2(S, \Theta_S) = 0$, we have

Lemma 3.0.2. *Let (S, Λ_0) be a Poisson ruled surface over an elliptic curve X. Then*

$$\mathbb{H}^0(S, \Theta_S^\bullet) \cong ker(H^0(S, \Theta_S) \xrightarrow{[\Lambda_0, -]} H^0(S, \wedge^2\Theta_S))$$
$$\mathbb{H}^1(S, \Theta_S^\bullet) \cong coker(H^0(S, \Theta_S) \xrightarrow{[\Lambda_0, -]} H^0(S, \wedge^2\Theta_S)) \oplus ker(H^1(S, \Theta_S) \xrightarrow{[\Lambda_0, -]} H^1(S, \wedge^2\Theta_S))$$
$$\mathbb{H}^2(S, \Theta_S^\bullet) \cong coker(H^1(S, \Theta_S) \xrightarrow{[\Lambda_0, -]} H^1(S, \wedge^2\Theta_S))$$

In the following, we keep the notations in section 1 and section 2.

3.1. **The case of $S = S_0 = X \times \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}$.**

From (2.1.1), let (S, Λ_0) be a Poisson ruled surface over an elliptic curve X given by

$$\Lambda_0 = (A + B\xi + C\xi^2)\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial u} = (A + B\xi_1 + C\xi_1^2)\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial u_1}, \tag{3.1.1}$$

where $(A, B, C) \in \mathbb{C}^3$ are constants. Let us compute $H^0(S, \Theta_S) \xrightarrow{[\Lambda_0, -]} H^0(S, \wedge^2\Theta_S)$. From (1.1.1) and (2.1.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}&[(A + B\xi + C\xi^2)\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial u}, t_0\frac{\partial}{\partial u} + (t_1 + t_2\xi + t_3\xi^2)\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi}] \\ &= \left((-Bt_1 + At_2) + 2(-Ct_1 + At_3)\xi + (-Ct_2 + Bt_3)\xi^2\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial u}\end{aligned}$$

where $t_0, t_1, t_2, t_3 \in \mathbb{C}$. If $(A, B, C) = 0$, then $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{H}^0(S, \Theta_S^\bullet) = 4$, and if $(A, B, C) \neq 0$, then $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{H}^0(S, \Theta_S^\bullet) = 2$ by Lemma 3.0.2. Let us compute $H^1(S, \Theta_S) \xrightarrow{[\Lambda_0, -]} H^1(S, \wedge^2\Theta_S)$. From (1.1.2) and (2.1.2), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&[(A+B\xi_1+C\xi_1^2)\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi_1}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial u_1},\frac{t_0}{u_1}\frac{\partial}{\partial u_1}+\frac{t_1+t_2\xi_1+t_3\xi_1^2}{u_1}\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi_1}]\\
&=\left((-Bt_1+At_2)\frac{1}{u_1}+2(-Ct_1+At_3)\frac{\xi_1}{u_1}+(-Ct_2+Bt_3)\frac{\xi_1^2}{u_1}-(A+B\xi_1+C\xi_1^2)\frac{t_0}{u_1^2}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi_1}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial u_1}\\
&\equiv\left((-Bt_1+At_2)\frac{1}{u_1}+2(-Ct_1+At_3)\frac{\xi_1}{u_1}+(-Ct_2+Bt_3)\frac{\xi_1^2}{u_1}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi_1}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial u_1}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $t_0,t_1,t_2,t_3\in\mathbb{C}$. Here $a\equiv b$ means that a and b represent the same cohomology class in $H^1(S,\wedge^2\Theta_S)$. If $(A,B,C)=0$, then $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}\mathbb{H}^1(S,\Theta_S^\bullet)=7$, and $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}\mathbb{H}^2(S,\Theta_S^\bullet)=3$ by Lemma 3.0.2. If $(A,B,C)\neq 0$, then $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}\mathbb{H}^1(S,\Theta_S^\bullet)=3$ and $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}\mathbb{H}^2(S,\Theta_S^\bullet)=1$ by Lemma 3.0.2. In this case, choose $(F_1,F_2,F_2)\neq 0\in\mathbb{C}^3$ such that $(F_1+F_2\xi+F_3\xi^2)\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial u}$ is not in the image of $H^0(S,\Theta_S)\xrightarrow{[\Lambda_0,-]}H^0(S,\wedge^2\Theta_S)$. Then we have

$$
\mathbb{H}^1(S,\Theta_S^\bullet)\cong\left\langle(F_1+F_2\xi+F_3\xi^2)\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial u}\right\rangle\oplus\left\langle\frac{1}{u_1}\frac{\partial}{\partial u_1},(A+B\xi_1+C\xi_1^2)\frac{1}{u_1}\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi_1}\right\rangle \tag{3.1.2}
$$

We summarize our computation in Table 1.

3.2. **The case of $S\in\mathcal{S}_0, S\neq S_0$.**

From (2.2.1), let (S,Λ_0) be a Poisson ruled surface over an elliptic curve X given by

$$
\Lambda_0=A\xi\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial u}=A\xi_1\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi_1}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial u_1}, \tag{3.2.1}
$$

where A is a constant. Let us compute $H^0(S,\Theta_S)\xrightarrow{[\Lambda_0,-]}H^0(S,\wedge^2\Theta_S)$. From (1.2.3) and (2.2.1), we have

$$
[A\xi\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial u},c_0\frac{\partial}{\partial u}+(c_1-c_0t_0\wp(u-p))\,\xi\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi}]=0,\quad c_0,c_1\in\mathbb{C}.
$$

Hence $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}\mathbb{H}^0(S,\Theta_S^\bullet)=2$ by Lemma 3.0.2. Let us compute $H^1(S,\Theta_S)\xrightarrow{[\Lambda_0,-]}H^1(S,\wedge^2\Theta_S)$. From (1.2.4) and (2.2.2),

$$
[A\xi_1\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi_1}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial u_1},c_0\frac{1}{u_1}\frac{\partial}{\partial u_1}+c_1\frac{\xi_1}{u_1}\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi_1}]=-Ac_0\frac{\xi_1}{u_1^2}\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi_1}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial u_1}\equiv 0,\quad c_0,c_1\in\mathbb{C}.
$$

Here $a\equiv b$ means that a and b represent the same cohomology class in $H^1(S,\wedge^2\Theta_S)$. Hence $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}\mathbb{H}^1(S,\Theta_S^\bullet)=3$, $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}\mathbb{H}^2(S,\Theta_S^\bullet)=1$ by Lemma 3.0.2, and we have

$$
\mathbb{H}^1(S,\Theta_S^\bullet)\cong\left\langle\xi\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial u}\right\rangle\oplus\left\langle\frac{1}{u_1}\frac{\partial}{\partial u_1},\frac{\xi_1}{u_1}\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi_1}\right\rangle \tag{3.2.2}
$$

We summarize our computations in Table 1.

3.3. **The case of $S=S_n(n\geq 1)$.**

From (2.3.1), let (S,Λ_0) be a Poisson ruled surface over an elliptic curve X given by

$$
\Lambda_0=(a_0\xi+A(u)\xi^2)\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial u}=(a_0\xi_1+A(u)u_1^n\xi_1^2)\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi_1}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial u_1}. \tag{3.3.1}
$$

where $A(u):=c_0+c_1\wp(u-p)+c_2\wp'(u-p)+\cdots+c_{n-1}\wp^{(n-2)}(u-p)$, and $a_0,c_0,c_1,\cdots,c_{n-1}$ are constants. Let us compute $H^0(S,\Theta_S)\xrightarrow{[\Lambda_0,-]}H^0(S,\wedge^2\Theta_S)$. From (1.3.1) and (2.3.1), setting $B(u):=t_0+t_1\wp(u-p)+c_2\wp'(u-p)+\cdots+t_{n-1}\wp^{(n-2)}(u-p)$,

$$
[(a_0\xi+A(u)\xi^2)\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial u},(b_0\xi+B(u)\xi^2)\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi}]=(a_0B(u)-b_0A(u))\xi^2\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial u},\quad b_0,t_0,t_1,...,t_{n-1}\in\mathbb{C}.
$$

Then $ker(H^0(S,\Theta_S) \xrightarrow{[\Lambda_0,-]} H^0(S,\wedge^2\Theta_S))$ is given by $a_0B(u) = b_0A(u)$ if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} a_0t_0 &= b_0c_0,\\ a_0t_1 &= b_0c_1\\ &\cdots\\ a_0t_{n-1} &= b_0c_{n-1} \end{aligned}$$

If $a_0 \neq 0$, then $t_0 = \frac{b_0c_0}{a_0}, t_1 = \frac{b_0c_1}{a_0}, ..., t_{n-1} = \frac{b_0c_{n-1}}{a_0}$. Hence $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{H}^0(S,\Theta_S^\bullet) = 1$ and $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} coker(H^0(S,\Theta_S) \xrightarrow{[\Lambda_0,-]} H^0(S,\wedge^2\Theta_S)) = 1$ by Lemma 3.0.2. In this case,

$$coker(H^0(S,\Theta_S) \xrightarrow{[\Lambda_0,-]} H^0(S,\wedge^2\Theta_S)) \cong \left\langle \xi\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \right\rangle. \tag{3.3.2}$$

If $a_0 = 0$, and $A(u) = 0$, then $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{H}^0(S,\Theta_S^\bullet) = n+1$, and

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} coker(H^0(S,\Theta_S) \xrightarrow{[\Lambda_0,-]} H^0(S,\wedge^2\Theta_S)) = n+1. \tag{3.3.3}$$

If $a_0 = 0$, and $A(u) \neq 0$, then $ker(H^0(S,\Theta_S) \xrightarrow{[\Lambda_0,-]} H^0(S,\wedge^2\Theta_S))$ is given by $-b_0A(u) = 0$ if and only if $b_0 = 0$. Hence $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{H}^0(S,\Theta_S^\bullet) = n$, and

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} coker(H^0(S,\Theta_S) \xrightarrow{[\Lambda_0,-]} H^0(S,\wedge^2\Theta_S)) = n \tag{3.3.4}$$

Next let us compute $H^1(S,\Theta_S) \xrightarrow{[\Lambda_0,-]} H^1(S,\wedge^2\Theta_S)$. From (1.3.2) and (2.3.2),

$$\begin{aligned} &[(a_0\xi_1 + A(u)u_1^n\xi_1^2)\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi_1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial u_1}, \frac{b}{u_1}\frac{\partial}{\partial u_1} + \left(\frac{t_1}{u_1^{n+1}} + \frac{t_2}{u_1} + \frac{t_3}{u_1^2} + \cdots + \frac{t_n}{u_1^{n-1}}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi_1}]\\ &= \left(-\frac{b}{u_1}\frac{\partial(A(u)u_1^n)}{\partial u_1}\xi_1^2 - \left(\frac{t_1}{u_1^{n+1}} + \frac{t_2}{u_1} + \frac{t_3}{u_1^2} + \cdots + \frac{t_n}{u_1^{n-1}}\right)(a_0 + 2A(u)u_1^n\xi_1) - (a_0\xi + A(u)u_1^n\xi_1^2)\frac{b}{u_1^2}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi_1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial u_1}\\ &\equiv -a_0\left(\frac{t_1}{u_1^{n+1}} + \frac{t_2}{u_1} + \frac{t_3}{u_1^2} + \cdots + \frac{t_n}{u_1^{n-1}}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi_1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial u_1}\\ &- 2\left(\frac{t_1}{u_1} + t_2u_1^{n-1} + t_3u_1^{n-2} + \cdots + t_nu_1\right)\left(c_0 + c_1\wp(u-p) + c_2\wp'(u-p) + \cdots + c_{n-1}\wp^{(n-2)}(u-p)\right)\xi_1\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi_1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial u_1}\\ &\equiv -a_0\left(\frac{t_1}{u_1^{n+1}} + \frac{t_2}{u_1} + \frac{t_3}{u_1^2} + \cdots + \frac{t_n}{u_1^{n-1}}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi_1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial u_1} - 2(t_1c_0 + t_2c_{n-1} + t_3c_{n-2} + \cdots + t_nc_1)\frac{1}{u_1}\xi_1\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi_1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial u_1} \end{aligned}$$

where $b, t_1, t_2, ..., t_n \in \mathbb{C}$. Here $a \equiv b$ means that a and b represent the same cohomology class in $H^1(S,\wedge^2\Theta_S)$.

If $a_0 \neq 0$, then $ker(H^1(S,\Theta_S) \xrightarrow{[\Lambda_0,-]} H^1(S,\wedge^2\Theta_S))$ is given by $t_1 = \cdots = t_n = 0$. Hence $\mathbb{H}^2(S,\Theta_S^\bullet) = n+1-n = 1$ by Lemma 3.0.2. From (3.3.2), $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{H}^1(S,\Theta_S^\bullet) = 2$ and

$$\mathbb{H}^1(S,\Theta_S^\bullet) \cong \left\langle \xi\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \right\rangle \oplus \left\langle \frac{1}{u_1}\frac{\partial}{\partial u_1} \right\rangle. \tag{3.3.5}$$

If $a_0 = 0$ and $A(u) = 0$, then $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{H}^2(S,\Theta_S^\bullet) = n+1$, and from (3.3.3), $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{H}^1(S,\Theta_S^\bullet) = 2n+2$ by Lemma 3.0.2.

If $a_0 = 0$ and $A(u) \neq 0$, then $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{H}^2(S,\Theta_S^\bullet) = n$, and from (3.3.4), $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{H}^1(S,\Theta_S^\bullet) = 2n$ by Lemma 3.0.2. In particular, if $a_0 = 0, n = 1$ (i.e. $S = S_1$) and $A(u) = c_0 \neq 0$, then

$$\mathbb{H}^1(S_1,\Theta_{S_1}^\bullet) \cong \left\langle \xi\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \right\rangle \oplus \left\langle \frac{1}{u_1}\frac{\partial}{\partial u_1} \right\rangle. \tag{3.3.6}$$

For later use, we note that if $\Lambda_0 = 0$ (i.e $a_0 = 0, A(u) = 0$) for $n \geq 1$ or $a_0 = 0, A(u) \neq 0$ for $n \geq 2$, then there exist constants $(t_1, ...t_n) \neq 0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$ such that

$$\frac{t_1}{u_1^{n+1}} + \frac{t_2}{u_1} + \frac{t_3}{u_1^2} + \cdots + \frac{t_n}{u_1^{n-1}} \in C^1(\mathcal{U},\Theta_S) \tag{3.3.7}$$

is in $ker(H^1(S,\Theta_S) \xrightarrow{[\Lambda_0,-]} H^1(S,\wedge^2\Theta_S))$.

We summarize our computation in Table 1.

3.4. **The case of $S = A_0$.**

From (2.4.1), let (S, Λ_0) be a Poisson ruled surface over an elliptic curve X given by

$$\Lambda_0 = a_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial u} = a_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial u_1} \tag{3.4.1}$$

where a_0 is a constant. Let us compute $ker(H^0(S, \Theta_S) \xrightarrow{[\Lambda_0, -]} H^0(S, \wedge^2 \Theta_S))$. From (1.4.1) and (2.4.1),

$$[a_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial u}, t_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial u} + (t_1 - t_2 \wp(u-p)) \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi}] = 0, \quad t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Hence $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{H}^0(S, \Theta_S^\bullet) = 2$ by Lemma 3.0.2. On the other hand, let us compute $H^1(S, \Theta_S) \xrightarrow{[\Lambda_0, -]} H^1(S, \wedge^2 \Theta_S)$. From (1.4.2) and (2.4.2),

$$[a_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial u_1}, \frac{t_1}{u_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_1} - t_2 \left(\frac{\xi_1^2}{u_1} + \frac{\xi_1}{u_1^2} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1}] = -\frac{a_0 t_1}{u_1^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial u_1} - a_0 t_2 \left(\frac{2\xi_1}{u_1} + \frac{1}{u_1^2} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial u_1} \equiv 0, \quad t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Here $a \equiv b$ means that a and b represent the same cohomology class in $H^1(S, \wedge^2 \Theta_S)$. Hence $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{H}^2(S, \Theta_S^\bullet) = 1$, $\dim \mathbb{H}^1(S, \Theta_S^\bullet) = 1 + 2 = 3$ by Lemma 3.0.2, and

$$\mathbb{H}^1(S, \Theta_S^\bullet) \cong \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \right\rangle \oplus \left\langle \frac{1}{u_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_1}, -\left(\frac{\xi_1^2}{u_1} + \frac{\xi_1}{u_1^2} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1} \right\rangle \tag{3.4.2}$$

We summarize our computation in Table 1.

3.5. **The case of $S = A_{-1}$.**

From (2.5.1), let $(S, \Lambda_0 = 0)$ be a Poisson ruled surface over an elliptic curve X. Then from (2.5.1) and Lemma 3.0.2, we have $\mathbb{H}^0(S, \Theta_S^\bullet) \cong H^0(S, \Theta_S)$, $\mathbb{H}^1(S, \Theta_S^\bullet) \cong H^1(S, \Theta_S)$ and $\mathbb{H}^2(S, \Theta_S^\bullet) = 0$. We summarize our computation in Table 1.

4. Poisson deformations of ruled surfaces over an elliptic curve

In this section, we determine obstructedness or unobstructedness of Poisson deformations for ruled surfaces over an elliptic curve X for any Poisson structure described in section 2. By extending the methods in [Suw69], in the case of an unobstructed Poisson ruled surface (S, Λ_0) over X, we show the unobstructedness in Poisson deformations by constructing a Poisson analytic family $(\mathcal{S}, \Lambda, B, \pi)$ such that the associated Poisson Kodaira-Spencer map is an isomorphism at the distinguished point. Before proceeding our discussions, we recall the following lemma from [Kim16].

Lemma 4.0.1. *Let $\mathcal{U} = (U \times \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}, U_1 \times \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}})$ be the Stein open covering of a ruled surface S over an elliptic curve as in section 1. Then (S, Λ_0) is obstructed in Poisson deformations if for some a, b where $a \in H^0(S, \wedge^2 \Theta_S)$, and $b \in C^1(\mathcal{U}, \Theta_S)$ which defines an element in $ker(H^1(S, \Theta_S) \xrightarrow{[\Lambda_0, -]} H^1(S, \wedge^2 \Theta_S))$, under the following map*

$$[-, -] : H^0(S, \wedge^2 \Theta_S) \times H^1(S, \Theta_S) \to H^1(S, \wedge^2 \Theta_S)$$

$[a, b] \in H^1(S, \wedge^2 \Theta_S)$ is not in the image of $H^1(S, \Theta_S) \xrightarrow{[\Lambda_0, -]} H^1(S, \wedge^2 \Theta_S)$.

We note that a group of automorphisms of $\mathbb{C} \times H$, where $H = \{\tau \in \mathbb{C} | \mathrm{Im}\, \tau > 0\}$, defined as

$$G = \{g_{nm} : (u, \tau) \mapsto (u + m\tau + n, \tau) | m, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

defines a complex analytic family $\sigma : \mathbb{C} \times H/G \to H$ such that $\sigma^{-1}(\omega)$ is an elliptic curve $X = \mathbb{C}/G_\omega$ as in section 1, and the Kodaira-Spencer map $T_\omega H \to H^1(X, \Theta_X) \cong \mathbb{C}$ is an isomorphism at $\tau = \omega$. We note that $H^1(X, \Theta_X) \cong \langle \frac{1}{u_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_1} \rangle$ for $\frac{1}{u_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_1} \in C^1(\mathscr{U}, \Theta_X)$, where $\mathscr{U} = \{U = X - p, U_1\}$ in section 1. For any $(u, \tau) \in \mathbb{C} \times H$, we denote by $[(u, \tau)]$ the corresponding element of $\mathbb{C} \times H/G$. Take a point $[(p, \omega)] \in \mathbb{C} \times H/G$ and let (u_1, τ) be a local neighborhood of $[(p, \omega)]$. We set $\mathcal{V} := \mathbb{C} \times H/G - [(p, \omega)]$ and $\mathcal{V}_1 := \{(u_1, \tau) | |u_1| < \epsilon, |\tau - \omega| < \epsilon\}$. Then $U = X - p$ and U_1 in section 1 satisfy $U = \mathcal{V} \cap X$ and $U_1 = \mathcal{V}_1 \cap X$. We will keep these notations in the following.

4.1. **The case of $S = S_0 = X \times \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}$.**

We remark that in [Kim16], we already showed that (S, Λ_0) is unobstructed in Poisson deformations for $\Lambda_0 \neq 0$, and obstructed in Poisson deformations for $\Lambda_0 = 0$. In [Kim16], we showed the unobstructedness by solving the integrability condition $L\alpha(t)+\frac{1}{2}[\alpha(t),\alpha(t)] = 0$, where $L = \bar{\partial}+[\Lambda_0.-]$, and $\alpha(t) \in H^0(S, \wedge^2\Theta_S)\oplus H^1(S, \Theta_S)$. In this subsection, we show the unobstructedness of $(S, \Lambda_0 \neq 0)$ in Poisson deformations by explicitly constructing a Poisson analytic family of deformations of (S, Λ_0) such that the associated Poisson Kodaira-Spencer map is an isomorphism at the distinguished point. Let $(S, \Lambda_0 = (A + B\xi + C\xi^2)\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial u})$ be Poisson ruled surface over X with $(A, B, C) \neq 0$ as in (3.1.1).

We set $\pi : (\mathcal{S}, \Lambda) \to H \times \mathbb{C}^2$ with the coordinates $(\tau, t, t_1) \in H \times \mathbb{C}^2$ in the following way:

$$(\mathcal{S}, \Lambda) = (\mathcal{V} \times \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}} \times \mathbb{C}^2, (A + t_1F_1 + (B + t_1F_2)\xi + (C + t_1F_3)\xi^2)\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial u})$$
$$\bigcup\ (\mathcal{V}_1 \times \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}} \times \mathbb{C}^2, (A + t_1F_1 + (B + t_1F_2)\xi_1 + (C + t_1F_3)\xi_1^2)\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial u_1})$$

where $([(u, \tau)], \xi, t, t_1) \in \mathcal{V} \times \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}} \times \mathbb{C}^2$ and $((u_1, \tau), \xi_1, t, t_1) \in \mathcal{V}_1 \times \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}} \times \mathbb{C}^2$ are identified if and only if

$$[(u, \tau)] = (p + u_1, \tau), \quad \xi = \frac{(1 + \frac{(B+t_1F_2)t}{u_1})\xi_1 + \frac{(A+t_1F_1)t}{u_1}}{-\frac{(C+t_1F_3)t}{u_1}\xi_1 + 1} = \frac{(1 + \frac{B't}{u_1})\xi_1 + \frac{A't}{u_1}}{-\frac{C't}{u_1}\xi_1 + 1} = \frac{(u_1 + B't)\xi_1 + A't}{-C't\xi_1 + u_1}$$

where $A' := A + t_1F_1,\ B' := B + t_1F_2,\ C' := C + t_1F_3$ and $(F_1, F_2, F_3) \in \mathbb{C}^3$ in (3.1.2). We show that Λ is well-defined on S, i.e. $\Lambda \in H^0(\mathcal{S}, \wedge^2\Theta_{\mathcal{S}/H\times\mathbb{C}^2})$. We note that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial u} = \frac{(-C't\xi_1 + u_1)^2}{u_1^2 + B'tu_1 + A'C't^2}\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial u_1}$$

Then we have

$$(A + t_1F_1 + (B + t_1F_2)\xi + (C + t_1F_3)\xi^2)\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial u} = (A' + B'\xi + C'\xi^2)\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial u}$$
$$= \left(A' + B'\left(\frac{(u_1 + B't)\xi_1 + A't}{-C't\xi_1 + u_1}\right) + C'\left(\frac{(u_1 + B't)\xi_1 + A't}{-C't\xi_1 + u_1}\right)^2\right)\frac{(-C't\xi_1 + u_1)^2}{u_1^2 + B'tu_1 + A'C't^2}\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial u_1}$$
$$= (A' + B'\xi_1 + C'\xi_1^2)\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial u_1} = (A + t_1F_1 + (B + t_1F_2)\xi_1 + (C + t_1F_3)\xi^2)\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial u_1}$$

Hence Λ is well-defined. Then from (3.1.2), we see that the Poisson Kodaira-Spencer map

$$T_{(\omega,0,0)}(H \times \mathbb{C}^2) \to \mathbb{H}^1(S, \Theta^\bullet_S)$$

is an isomorphism at $(\tau, t, t_1) = (\omega, 0, 0)$. Hence (S, Λ_0) is unobstructed in Poisson deformations. We summarize Poisson deformations of $S = X \times \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}$ in Table 1.

4.2. **The case of $S \in \mathcal{S}_0, S \neq S_0$.**

Let $(S, \Lambda_0 = A\xi\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial u})$ be a Poisson ruled surface over X as in (3.2.1). We show that (S, Λ_0) is unobstructed in Poisson deformations by constructing a Poisson analytic family of deformations of (S, Λ_0) such that the Poisson Kodaira-Spencer map is an isomorphism at the distinguished point. We set $\pi : (\mathcal{S}, \Lambda) \to H \times \mathbb{C}^2$ with the coordinates $(\tau, t, t_1) \in H \times \mathbb{C}^2$ in the following way:

$$(\mathcal{S}, \Lambda) = (\mathcal{V} \times \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}} \times \mathbb{C}^2, (A + t_1)\xi\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial u})\ \bigcup\ (\mathcal{V}_1 \times \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}} \times \mathbb{C}^2, (A + t_1)\xi_1\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial u_1})$$

where $([(u, \tau)], \xi, t, t_1) \in \mathcal{V} \times \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}} \times \mathbb{C}^2$ and $((u_1, \tau), \xi_1, t, t_1) \in \mathcal{V}_1 \times \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}} \times \mathbb{C}^2$ are identified if and only if

$$[(u, \tau)] = (p + u_1, \tau), \quad \xi = e^{\frac{t_0+t}{u_1}}\xi_1$$

It is clear that Λ is well-defined on $\mathcal{S}$. i.e. $\Lambda \in H^0(\mathcal{S}, \wedge^2\Theta_{\mathcal{S}/H\times\mathbb{C}^2})$. Then from (3.2.2), we see that the Poisson Kodaira-Spencer map

$$T_{(\omega,0,0)}(H \times \mathbb{C}^2) \to \mathbb{H}^1(S, \Theta^\bullet_S)$$

is an isomorphism at $(\tau,t,t_1)=(\omega,0,0)$. Hence (S,Λ_0) is unobstructed in Poisson deformations. We summarize Poisson deformations of (S,Λ_0) in Table 1.

4.3. **The case of $S=S_n(n\geq 1)$.**

Let $(S,\Lambda_0=a_0\xi\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial u}+A(u)\xi^2\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial u})$ be a Poisson ruled surface over X as in (3.3.1). We will show that if $a_0\neq 0$, then (S,Λ_0) is unobstructed in Poisson deformations, and if $a_0=0$, then (S,Λ_0) is obstructed in Poisson deformations.

Assume that $a_0\neq 0$. We set $\pi:(\mathcal{S},\Lambda)\to H\times\mathbb{C}$ with the coordinates $(\tau,t)\in H\times\mathbb{C}$ in the following way:

$$(\mathcal{S},\Lambda)=(\mathcal{V}\times\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}\times\mathbb{C},\left((a_0+t)\xi+A(u;\tau)\xi^2\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial u})\bigcup(\mathcal{V}_1\times\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}\times\mathbb{C},\left((a_0+t)\xi_1+A(u;\tau)u_1^n\xi_1^2\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi_1}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial u_1})$$

where $([(u,\tau)],\xi,t)\in\mathcal{V}\times\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}\times\mathbb{C}$ and $((u_1,\tau),\xi_1,t)\in\mathcal{V}_1\times\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}\times\mathbb{C}$ are identified if and only if

$$[(u,\tau)]=(p+u_1,\tau),\quad \xi=u_1^n\xi_1,$$

and $A(u:\tau):=c_0+c_1\wp(u-p;\tau)+c_2\wp'(u-p;\tau)+\cdots+c_{n-1}\wp^{(n-2)}(u-p;\tau)$. Here $\wp(u;\tau)$ is the Weierstrass $\wp$-function with periods $(1,\tau)$. We note that $A(u)=A(u;\omega)$. It is clear that Λ is well-defined on $\mathcal{S}$. i.e. $\Lambda\in H^0(\mathcal{S},\wedge^2\Theta_{\mathcal{S}/H\times\mathbb{C}})$. Then from (3.3.5), we see that the Poisson Kodaira-Spencer map

$$T_{(\omega,0)}(H\times\mathbb{C})\to\mathbb{H}^1(S,\Theta_S^\bullet)$$

is an isomorphism at $(\tau,t)=(\omega,0)$. Hence (S,Λ_0) is unobstructed in Poisson deformations.

Next assume that $a_0=0,n=1$ (i.e. $S=S_1$) and $A(u)=c_0\neq 0$. We set $\pi:(\mathcal{S},\Lambda)\to H\times\mathbb{C}$ with the coordinates $(\tau,t)\in H\times\mathbb{C}$ in the following way:

$$(\mathcal{S},\Lambda)=(\mathcal{V}\times\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}\times\mathbb{C},\left(t\xi+c_0\xi^2\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial u})\bigcup(\mathcal{V}_1\times\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}\times\mathbb{C},\left(t\xi_1+c_0u_1\xi_1^2\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi_1}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial u_1})$$

where $([(u,\tau)],\xi,t)\in\mathcal{V}\times\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}\times\mathbb{C}$ and $((u_1,\tau),\xi_1,t)\in\mathcal{V}_1\times\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}\times\mathbb{C}$ are identified if and only if

$$[(u,\tau)]=(p+u_1,\tau),\quad \xi=u_1\xi_1,$$

Then from (3.3.6), we see that the Poisson Kodaira-Spencer map

$$T_{(\omega,0)}(H\times\mathbb{C})\to\mathbb{H}^1(S_1,\Theta_{S_1}^\bullet)$$

is an isomorphism at $(\tau,t)=(\omega,0)$. Hence $(S=S_1,\Lambda_0)$ is unobstructed in Poisson deformations.

On the other hand, assume that $\Lambda_0=0$ (i.e $a_0=0,A(u)=0$) for $n\geq 1$ or $a_0=0,A(u)\neq 0$ for $n\geq 2$. Then from (3.3.7), consider

$$[\xi_1\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi_1}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial u_1},\left(\frac{t_1}{u_1^{n+1}}+\frac{t_2}{u_1}+\frac{t_3}{u_1^2}+\cdots+\frac{t_n}{u_1^{n-1}}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi_1}]\equiv-\left(\frac{t_1}{u_1^{n+1}}+\frac{t_2}{u_1}+\frac{t_3}{u_1^2}+\cdots+\frac{t_n}{u_1^{n-1}}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi_1}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial u_1}$$

where $(t_1,...,t_n)\neq 0\in\mathbb{C}^n$, which is not in the image $H^1(S,\Theta_S)\xrightarrow{[\Lambda_0,-]}H^1(S,\wedge^2\Theta_S)$ since $a_0=0$. Then by Lemma 4.0.1, (S,Λ_0) is obstructed in Poisson deformations. We summarize Poisson deformations of (S,Λ_0) in Table 1.

4.4. **The case of $S=A_0$.**

Let $(S,\Lambda_0=a_0\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial u})$ be a Poisson ruled surface over X as in (3.4.1). We show that (S,Λ_0) is unobstructed in Poisson deformations by constructing a Poisson analytic family of deformations of (S,Λ_0) such that the Poisson Kodaira-Spencer map is an isomorphism at the distinguished point. We set $\pi:(\mathcal{S},\Lambda)\to H\times\mathbb{C}^2$ with the coordinates $(\tau,t,t_1)\in H\times\mathbb{C}^2$ in the following way:

$$(\mathcal{S},\Lambda)=(\mathcal{V}\times\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}\times\mathbb{C}^2,(a_0+t_1)(1-t\xi^2)\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial u})\bigcup(\mathcal{V}_1\times\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}\times\mathbb{C}^2,(a_0+t_1)(1-t\xi_1^2)\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi_1}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial u_1})$$

where $([(u,\tau)],\xi,t,t_1)\in\mathcal{V}\times\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}\times\mathbb{C}^2$ and $((u_1,\tau),\xi_1,t,t_1)\in\mathcal{V}_1\times\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}\times\mathbb{C}^2$ are identified if and only if

$$[(u,\tau)]=(p+u_1,\tau),\quad \xi=\frac{\xi_1+\frac{1}{u_1}}{\frac{t}{u_1}\xi_1+1}=\frac{u_1\xi_1+1}{t\xi_1+u_1}$$

Type of S	Poisson structure Λ_0	$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{H}^0(S, \Theta_S^\bullet)$	$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{H}^1(S, \Theta_S^\bullet)$	$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{H}^2(S, \Theta_S^\bullet)$	Poisson deformations
$S_0 = X \times \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}$	0	4	7	3	obstructed
$S_0 = X \times \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}$	$(A, B, C) \neq 0$ in (3.1.1)	2	3	1	unobstructed
$S \in \mathcal{S}_0, S \neq S_0$	any Poisson structure	2	3	1	unobstructed
$S_n (n \geq 1)$	0	$n+1$	$2n+2$	$n+1$	obstructed
S_1	$a_0 = 0, c_0 \neq 0$ in (3.3.1)	1	2	1	unobstructed
$S_n (n \geq 2)$	$a_0 = 0, A(u) \neq 0$ in (3.3.1)	n	$2n$	n	obstructed
$S_n (n \geq 1)$	$a_0 \neq 0$ in (3.3.1)	1	2	1	unobstructed
A_0	any Poisson structure	2	3	1	unobstructed
A_{-1}	0	1	1	0	unobstructed

TABLE 1. obstructed and unobstructedness of Poisson ruled surfaces (S, Λ_0) over an elliptic curve X

We show that Λ is well-defined on $\mathcal{S}$. i.e. $\Lambda \in H^0(\mathcal{S}, \wedge^2 \Theta_{\mathcal{S}/H \times \mathbb{C}^2})$. We note that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial u} = \frac{(t\xi_1 + u_1)^2}{u_1^2 - t} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial u_1},$$
$$1 - t\xi^2 = 1 - t\left(\frac{u_1\xi_1 + 1}{t\xi_1 + u_1}\right)^2 = \frac{(1 - t\xi_1^2)(u_1^2 - t)}{(t\xi_1 + u_1)^2}$$

Then we have

$$(a_0 + t_1)(1 - t\xi^2)\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial u} = (a_0 + t_1)\left(\frac{(1 - t\xi_1^2)(u_1^2 - t)}{(t\xi_1 + u_1)^2}\right)\frac{(t\xi_1 + u_1)^2}{u_1^2 - t}\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial u_1} = (a_0 + t_1)(1 - t\xi_1^2)\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial u_1}$$

Hence Λ is well-defined. Then from (3.4.2), we see that the Poisson Kodaira-Spencer map

$$T_{(\omega,0,0)}(H \times \mathbb{C}^2) \to \mathbb{H}^1(S, \Theta_S^\bullet)$$

is an isomorphism at $(\tau, t, t_1) = (\omega, 0, 0)$. Hence (S, Λ_0) is unobstructed in Poisson deformations. We summarize Poisson deformations of $(S = A_0, \Lambda_0)$ in Table 1.

4.5. **The case of $S = A_{-1}$.**

Since there is no nontrivial Poisson structure on S and S is unobstructed in complex deformations, S is unobstructed in Poisson deformations. We summarize Poisson deformations of $(S, \Lambda_0 = 0)$ in Table 1.

REFERENCES

[Ati55] M. F. Atiyah, *Complex fibre bundles and ruled surfaces*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **5** (1955), 407–434. MR 0076409

[Ati57] ———, *Vector bundles over an elliptic curve*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **7** (1957), 414–452. MR 0131423

[BM05] Claudio Bartocci and Emanuele Macrí, *Classification of Poisson surfaces*, Commun. Contemp. Math. **7** (2005), no. 1, 89–95. MR 2129789

[Kim14] Chunghoon Kim, *Theorem of existence and completeness for holomorphic Poisson structures*, preprint (2014).

[Kim16] ———, *Obstructed and unobstructed Poisson deformations*, preprint (2016).

[Kod05] Kunihiko Kodaira, *Complex manifolds and deformation of complex structures*, english ed., Classics in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2005, Translated from the 1981 Japanese original by Kazuo Akao. MR 2109686 (2005h:32030)

[LGPV13] Camille Laurent-Gengoux, Anne Pichereau, and Pol Vanhaecke, *Poisson structures*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], vol. 347, Springer, Heidelberg, 2013. MR 2906391

[Mar77] A. I. Markushevich, *Theory of functions of a complex variable. Vol. I, II, III*, english ed., Chelsea Publishing Co., New York, 1977, Translated and edited by Richard A. Silverman. MR 0444912

[Suw69] Tatsuo Suwa, *On ruled surfaces of genus* 1, J. Math. Soc. Japan **21** (1969), 291–311. MR 0242198

E-mail address: ckim042@gmail.com